

(ii) As documented by national survey data submitted to and accepted by the Secretary on a case-by-case basis.

U.S. National means—

- (i) A citizen of the United States; or
- (ii) A person defined in the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(22), who, though not a citizen of the United States, owes permanent allegiance to the United States.

(Authority: 20 U.S.C. 1134, 1134d–g)

Subpart B—How Does an Institution of Higher Education Apply for a Grant?

§ 649.10 How does an institution of higher education apply for a grant?

(a) To apply for a grant under this part, an institution of higher education must submit an application that responds to the appropriate selection criteria in §§ 649.21 and 649.31.

(b) An institution of higher education's application must describe how the institution will select eligible individuals to receive fellowships. This description must include procedures that ensure that—

(1) The selected individuals will have the capability to achieve the academic goals of the fellowship; and

(2) The institution will give priority to members of one or more of the groups to which priority must be given under § 649.3(b)(1).

(c) An institution of higher education may apply for a grant under this part for the following types of fellowships:

(1) Master's Level and Professional Study Fellowships.

(2) Doctoral Study Fellowships.

(d) An institution of higher education may submit no more than one application for new awards for Master's Level and Professional Study Fellowships and no more than one application for new awards for Doctoral Study Fellowships in a given application period.

(Approved by the Office of Management and Budget under control number 1840–0509)

(Authority: 20 U.S.C. 1134e)

Subpart C—How Does the Secretary Make a Grant for Master's Level and Professional Study Fellowships?

§ 649.20 How does the Secretary evaluate an application?

(a) The Secretary evaluates an application for master's level and professional study fellowships on the basis of the criteria in § 649.21.

(b) The Secretary awards up to 100 points for these criteria.

(c) The maximum possible score for each criterion is indicated in parentheses.

(Authority: 20 U.S.C. 1134e)

§ 649.21 What selection criteria does the Secretary use?

The Secretary uses the following criteria to evaluate an application:

(a) *Institution-wide criteria*—(1) *Institutional commitment*. (15 points) The Secretary reviews each application to determine the overall strength of the applicant's commitment to meet the needs of the students who are members of the group or groups to which the institution proposes to give priority in accordance with § 649.3(b)(1), including consideration of—

(i) Evidence that the institution's social and academic environment is supportive of the academic success of students who are members of the priority group or groups;

(ii) The availability of other sources of financial aid and support for students who are members of the priority group or groups; and

(iii) The employment of women or individuals from minority groups or both, depending on the group or groups to which the institution proposes to give priority, among the administrators and faculty in the institution.

(2) *Recruitment plan*. (10 points)

(i) The Secretary reviews each application for information that describes the applicant's recruitment plan.

(ii) The Secretary looks for information that shows—

(A) The applicant's active and aggressive efforts, previous and current, to identify and attract students who are members of the group or groups to

which the applicant proposes to give priority.

(B) The applicant's previous and current efforts in successfully preparing students from the group or groups to which the applicant proposes to give priority for careers in which members of those groups are underrepresented; and

(C) The applicant's success in providing students with access to careers in which women and minority groups are underrepresented.

(3) *Adequacy of resources.* (5 points) The Secretary reviews each application to determine the adequacy of the general institutional resources that the applicant plans to devote to the project, including facilities, equipment, and supplies.

(4) *Grant management.* (5 points) The Secretary reviews each application to determine the applicant's ability to provide overall administration of the grant award, including providing assistance to and oversight of the project director.

(5) *Evaluation plan.* (5 points) The Secretary reviews each application to determine the quality of the evaluation plan for the project, including the extent to which the applicant's evaluation methods—

(i) Relate to the specific goals and measurable objectives of the project;

(ii) Include both process and product evaluation measures that are objective and designed to produce data that are quantifiable; and

(iii) Describe how the applicant will analyze and report the data so that it can make adjustments and improvements on a regular basis.

(b) *Academic field criteria*—(1) *Meeting the purpose of the authorizing statute.* (8 points) The Secretary reviews each application to determine how well each academic field within the project will meet the purpose of the authorizing statute, including the extent to which—

(i) The applicant describes the general and specific objectives of the project with respect to each academic field that are realistic and measurable; and

(ii) The objectives of the project with respect to each academic field further the purposes of the authorizing statute

by assisting in making available the benefits of master's level and professional education programs to one or more of the groups listed in § 649.3(b)(1) of this part.

(2) *Extent of need for the project within each academic field.* (10 points) The Secretary reviews each application to determine the extent to which the project, within each academic field, will meet the specific graduate preparation and career-access needs of the group or groups to which the applicant proposes to give priority, including consideration of—

(i) The needs of the applicant within each academic field that are addressed by the project;

(ii) How the applicant identified those needs;

(iii) How those needs will be met by the project within each academic field; and

(iv) The benefits to be gained by meeting those needs.

(3) *Plan of operation.* (10 points) The Secretary reviews each application to determine the quality of the plan of operation for the project, with respect to each academic field, including—

(i) The quality of the design of the project with respect to each academic field;

(ii) The extent to which the plan of management is effective and ensures proper and efficient administration of the project within each academic field;

(iii) How well the objectives of the project within each academic field relate to the purpose of the program;

(iv) How well the project activities of each academic field within the project are described and the potential of those activities to achieve project objectives in a cost-effective manner;

(v) The quality of the applicant's plan to use its resources and personnel to achieve each objective; and

(vi) How the applicant will ensure that project participants who are otherwise eligible to participate are selected without regard to race, color, national origin, religion, gender, age, or disabling condition, except as necessary to implement the priority or priorities established in accordance with the requirements of § 649.3(b)(1).

(4) *Quality of the academic program.* (15 points) The Secretary reviews each application to determine the quality of the current academic program for each academic field within the project, including—

(i) The course offerings and academic requirements for the academic program; and

(ii) The focus on, and capability for, research or teaching.

(5) *Quality of key personnel.* (12 points)

(i) The Secretary reviews each application to determine the quality of key personnel the applicant plans to use on the project, including—

(A) The qualifications of the project director (2 points);

(B) The qualifications of the key faculty to be used for the project in each academic field (6 points);

(C) The time that each person referred to in paragraphs (b)(5)(i) (A) and (B) of this section will commit to the project (2 points); and

(D) How the applicant, as part of its nondiscriminatory employment practices, will ensure that its personnel are selected without regard to race, color, national origin, religion, gender, age, or disabling condition, except pursuant to a lawful affirmative action plan (2 points).

(ii) To determine personnel qualifications under paragraphs (b)(5)(i) (A) and (B) of this section, the Secretary considers—

(A) Experience and training in areas related to the objectives of the project or the relevant academic field within the project; and

(B) Any other qualifications that pertain to the quality of the project.

(6) *Adequacy of resources.* (5 points) The Secretary reviews each application to determine the adequacy of the resources the applicant plans to devote to the project, with respect to each academic field, including facilities, equipment, and supplies.

(Approved by Office of Management and Budget under control number 1840-0509)

(Authority: 20 U.S.C. 1134e)

§ 649.22 How does the Secretary establish priorities?

(a)(1) The Secretary gives an absolute preference to applicants proposing to provide fellowships in the award of

which priority is given to women or individuals from minority groups, or both, who are pursuing master's level or professional study and are underrepresented in the academic field for which the grant award is made.

(2) The Secretary announces the absolute preference annually in the FEDERAL REGISTER notice inviting applications for new awards under this program.

(b)(1) The Secretary gives a competitive preference of one point to applicants proposing to provide fellowships in the award of which priority is given to women or individuals from minority groups, or both, who are pursuing master's level study leading to careers that serve the public interest.

(2) This point is in addition to any points the applicant earns under the selection criteria for the program.

(c)(1) The Secretary gives an absolute preference to applicants proposing to provide fellowships in academic career fields of high national priority as established by the Secretary from among one or more of the academic areas listed in the appendix to this part or the resulting subdisciplinary or interdisciplinary academic areas.

(2) The Secretary announces the absolute preference annually in the FEDERAL REGISTER notice inviting applications for new awards under this program.

(Authority: 20 U.S.C. 1134d, 1134e)

Subpart D—How Does the Secretary Make a Grant for Doctoral Study Fellowships?

§ 649.30 How does the Secretary evaluate an application?

(a) The Secretary evaluates an application for doctoral study fellowships on the basis of the criteria in § 649.31.

(b) The Secretary awards up to 100 points for these criteria.

(c) The maximum possible score for each criterion is indicated in parentheses.

(Authority: 20 U.S.C. 1134e)

§ 649.31 What selection criteria does the Secretary use?

The Secretary uses the following criteria to evaluate an application: